

## Endnotes

### **Chapter 2: Juvenile Dependency**

**Table 2.1**  
**Child Abuse Referrals by Allegation and Year**  
**1998-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused. A row is also included that counts children in referrals without allegations (missing/other), so that the total in each table counts all children listed in referrals.

**Table 2.2**  
**Child Abuse Referrals by Race/Ethnicity and Year**  
**1998-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused.

Race/ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.3**  
**Child Abuse Referrals by Age and Year**  
**1998-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

**Table 2.4**  
**Foster Care Maltreatment Referrals, Substantiations and Percent Substantiated by Race/Ethnicity and Age**  
**2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Due to missing age values, referrals and substantiations will not sum to ethnic group or overall totals.

Percent of referrals substantiated recalculated by CFCC staff.

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

Race/ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.5**  
**Foster Care Maltreatment Referrals, Substantiations and Percent Substantiated, by County**  
**2001-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Due to missing age values, referrals and substantiations subtotals will not sum to total.

Counts of children with one or more referrals by year: children with multiple referrals are characterized by the most severe referral, defined by outcome (outcomes in descending order of severity are substantiated, inconclusive, unfounded, and assessment only) and by abuse type (abuse types in descending order of severity are sexual abuse, physical abuse, severe neglect, general neglect, exploitation, emotional abuse, caretaker absence/incapacity, and at risk but not abused).

County-specific tables count each child once per year in that county, so that a child with referrals in more than one county will appear in the tables for each county where a referral took place. Therefore, the sum of the children in each county table will add up to more than the total in the statewide tables.

Percent of referrals substantiated recalculated by CFCC staff.

**Table 2.6**  
**Juvenile Dependency Filings and Dispositions**  
**1993-2002**

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved July 28, 2003, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999, 2001, and 2002. Modoc County did not report for the year 2002.

Dispositions per 100 filings calculated by CFCC staff.

**Table 2.7**  
**Juvenile Dependency Filings, Dispositions, and Stage at Disposition by County Court**  
**2002**

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved July 28, 2003, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity and Modoc Counties did not report for the year 2002.

**Table 2.8**  
**Original Dependency Filings by County Court**  
**1993-2002**

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved July 28, 2003, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999, 2001, and 2002. Modoc County did not report for the year 2002.

**Table 2.9**  
**Original Dependency Dispositions by County Court**  
**1993-2002**

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved July 28, 2003, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999, 2001, and 2002. Modoc County did not report for the year 2002.

**Table 2.10**  
**Subsequent Dependency Filings by County Court**  
**1993-2002**

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved July 28, 2003, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999, 2001, and 2002. Modoc County did not report for the year 2002.

**Table 2.11**  
**Subsequent Dependency Dispositions by County Court**  
**1993-2002**

Judicial Council of California, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Branch Statistical Information System (JBSIS). Retrieved July 28, 2003, from the JBSIS Web site, URL: <http://jbsis.courts.ca.gov/> (restricted access site).

Trinity County did not report for the years 1999, 2001, and 2002. Modoc County did not report for the year 2002.

**Table 2.12**  
**First Entries, Reentries, Exits From Care, and Net Change in Child Welfare Supervised**  
**Foster Care Population**  
**1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Net Change recalculated by CFCC staff.

**Table 2.13**  
**First Entries, Reentries, Exits From Care, and Net Change in Child Welfare Supervised**  
**Foster Care Population by Race/Ethnicity**  
**1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Net Change recalculated by CFCC staff.

Race/Ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.14**  
**First Entries to Foster Care by Removal Reason and Entry Year**  
**1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Neglect includes CWS/CMS categories Severe Neglect, General Neglect, and Caretaker Absence/Incapacity.

Other includes CWS/CMS categories Child's Disability or Handicap, Disrupted Adoptive Placement, Emotional Abuse, Exploitation, Law Violation, Relinquishment, Status Offense, and Voluntary Placement.

**Table 2.15**  
**First Entries to Foster Care by First Placement Type and Entry Year**  
**1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

**Table 2.16**  
**First Entries to Foster Care by Race/Ethnicity and Entry Year**  
**1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Race/Ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.17**  
**First Entries to Foster Care by Age and Entry Year**  
**1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

**Table 2.18**  
**First Entries to Foster Care by Removal Reason and Entry Year**  
**Children in Care Five Days or More, 1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Neglect includes CWS/CMS categories Severe Neglect, General Neglect, and Caretaker Absence/Incapacity.

Other includes CWS/CMS categories Child's Disability or Handicap, Disrupted Adoptive Placement, Emotional Abuse, Exploitation, Law Violation, Relinquishment, Status Offense, and Voluntary Placement.

**Table 2.19**  
**First Entries to Foster Care by First Placement Type and Entry Year**  
**Children in Care Five Days or More, 1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

**Table 2.20**  
**First Entries to Foster Care by Race/Ethnicity and Entry Year**  
**Children in Care Five Days or More, 1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Race/Ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.21**  
**First Entries to Foster Care by Age and Entry Year**  
**Children in Care Five Days or More, 1993-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

**Table 2.22**

**Median First-Spell Length of Stay in Days by Major Placement Type  
Children in Care Five Days or More, 1998-2001 Entries Combined**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Medians computed with the Kaplan-Meier method.

Mixed placement represents multiple placements where no one type predominated; otherwise, children are characterized by the placement type that accounts for 50 percent or more of their placement time.

Children with a predominant facility type of Guardian or Missing were excluded from these analyses.

**Table 2.23  
Median First-Spell Length of Stay in Days by Race/Ethnicity  
Children in Care Five Days or More, 1998-2001 Entries Combined**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Medians computed with the Kaplan-Meier method.

Mixed placement represents multiple placements where no one type predominated; otherwise, children are characterized by the placement type that accounts for 50 percent or more of their placement time.

Children with a predominant facility type of Guardian or Missing were excluded from these analyses.

Race/Ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.24  
Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Placement Type  
July 1, 1998-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Children with an open out-of-home placement record on the study date are categorized according to the placement type corresponding with that point in time, including kinship, Foster Family Home (Non-Relative), Foster Family Home (Non-Relative; Agency), Group, Shelter, Medical Facility, Guardian, Other, or Missing Type.



Children with an open placement episode, but not an open out-of-home placement record, are coded as being in Non-Foster Care if they have an open placement in that table on the study date or on a Trial Home Visit or as a Runaway if the placement change reason of the last placement indicated such.

Children who appear to have a signed adoption agreement are removed from all other categories and are coded as being in a Preadoptive Foster Care Placement.

Children with an open placement episode, no open out-of-home placement, and no reliable data regarding why, are coded as Other.

**Table 2.25**  
**Children in Child Welfare Supervised Foster Care by Supervising County and In-County Placement**  
**July 1, 2001-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

These tables are based on data about children who were placed in kinship, foster family home (non-relative), foster family home (non-relative; agency), or group homes on July 1, 2001, and July 1, 2002. Supervising county refers to the county responsible for the child's case. Placement county refers to the county where the placement facility is physically located.

**Table 2.26**  
**Caseload by Service Component Type and Race/Ethnicity**  
**July 1, 1999-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Probation and Kin-GAP cases in Los Angeles County are excluded.

Kin-GAP, a program designed to serve children whose dependency cases are dismissed when a relative caretaker assumes legal guardianship, went into effect January 1, 2001.

Race/Ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.27**  
**Caseload by Service Component Type and Age**  
**July 1, 1999-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California.



Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Probation and Kin-GAP cases in Los Angeles County are excluded.

Kin-GAP, a program designed to serve children whose dependency cases are dismissed when a relative caretaker assumes legal guardianship, went into effect January 1, 2001.

**Table 2.28**  
**Exits at 3, 6, and 12 Months by Placement Type and Race/Ethnicity**  
**Children in Care Five Days or More, 2001 First Entries**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Guardianship placements are excluded from tables.

Race/Ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.29**  
**Exits at 3, 6, and 12 Months by Placement Type and Age at Entry**  
**Children in Care Five Days or More, 2001 First Entries**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Guardianship placements are excluded from tables.

**Table 2.30**  
**Outcomes per Year for Children Exiting by Placement Type**  
**1998-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Only one exit per year per youth was recorded; cases with multiple exits count the most recent exit.

Kin-GAP, a program designed to serve children whose dependency cases are dismissed when a relative caretaker assumes legal guardianship, went into effect January 1, 2001.

**Table 2.31**

### **Outcomes per Year for Children Exiting by Placement Type and Race/Ethnicity 1998-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Only one exit per year per youth was recorded; cases with multiple exits count the most recent exit.

Kin-GAP, a program designed to serve children whose dependency cases are dismissed when a relative caretaker assumes legal guardianship, went into effect January 1, 2001.

Race/Ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

### **Table 2.32 Outcomes per Year for Children Exiting by Placement Type and Age 1998-2002**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Only one exit per year per youth was recorded; cases with multiple exits count the most recent exit.

Kin-GAP, a program designed to serve children whose dependency cases are dismissed when a relative caretaker assumes legal guardianship, went into effect January 1, 2001.

### **Table 2.33 Reunified and Reentry Cases by Timing of Reunification and Race/Ethnicity, First Entries That Reunified, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Entries**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Reentries were tracked for children who entered welfare-supervised foster care for the first time, were in care at least five days, and then were reunified within 12 or 24 months.

Race/Ethnicity categories are Black, White (including White, White-Armenian, White-Central American, White-European, White-Middle Eastern, and White-Romanian), Hispanic (Hispanic, Mexican, South American, Caribbean, or those coded as being of Hispanic Origin), Asian (Asian Indian, Cambodian, Chinese, Ethiopian, Filipino, Guamanian, Hawaiian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Other Asian/Pacific Islander, Hmong, Polynesian, Samoan, and Vietnamese), and Native American (Alaskan Native and American Indian).

**Table 2.34**  
**Reunified and Reentry Cases by Timing of Reunification and Age at Entry**  
**First Entries That Reunified, 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Entries**

Needell, B., Webster, D., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Armijo, M., Lee, S., Brookhart, A., Lery, B., Shaw, T., Dawson, W., Piccus, W., Magruder, J., & Kim, H. (2003). Child Welfare Services Reports for California. Retrieved July 7, 2003, from University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research Web site. URL: <http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/>

Reentries were tracked for children who entered welfare-supervised foster care for the first time, were in care at least five days, and then were reunified within 12 or 24 months.

**Table 2.35**  
**Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Race/Ethnicity**  
**July 2002**

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *Annual Recipient Reports on CalWORKs, Foster Care (FC), Social Services, Nonassistance Food Stamps (NAFS), Welfare to Work (WTW), Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA), and the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Ethnic Origin and Primary Language*, Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on July 22, 2003, from the July 2002 ABCD 350-Annual Recipient Report. URL: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/res/pdf/abcd350/2002/ABCD350Jul02.xls>

Los Angeles County has 37 unspecified cases that were included in the total for that county and the overall total.

The Asian/Pacific Islander column includes those of Filipino, Chinese, Cambodian, Samoan, Asian Indian, Laotian, Vietnamese, Japanese, Korean, Hawaiian, Guamanian, and other Asian or Pacific Islander ethnic origins.

Totals computed by CFCC staff.

**Table 2.36**  
**Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Asian/Pacific Islander Ethnicity**  
**July 2002**

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *Annual Recipient Reports on CalWORKs, Foster Care (FC), Social Services, Nonassistance Food Stamps (NAFS), Welfare to Work (WTW), Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA), and the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Ethnic Origin and Primary Language*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on July 22, 2003, from the July 2002 ABCD 350-Annual Recipient Report. URL: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/res/pdf/abcd350/2002/ABCD350Jul02.xls>

The Other Asian or Pacific Islander column includes those of Japanese (32 recipients), Korean (82 recipients), Hawaiian (37 recipients), Guamanian (20 recipients), and the original Other Asian or Pacific Islander category supplied by the California Department of Social Services (388 recipients not delineated by ethnicity).

Totals computed by CFCC staff.

**Table 2.37**  
**Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Primary Language Spoken**

## July 2002

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *Annual Recipient Reports on CalWORKs, Foster Care (FC), Social Services, Nonassistance Food Stamps (NAFS), Welfare to Work (WTW), Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA), and the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Ethnic Origin and Primary Language*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on July 22, 2003, from the July 2002 ABCD 350-Annual Recipient Report. URL: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/res/pdf/abcd350/2002/ABCD350Jul02.xls>

According to the California Department of Social Services, the data in the Other Non-English Languages column varies by county, with many simply unknown:

Alameda: Romanian, Hawaiian, and unknown.

Fresno: Asian Indian

Glenn, Santa Cruz, and Yolo: Unknown.

Kern: Lyjarati, Punjabi, Hindu, and Nonverbal.

Merced and Sutter County: Punjabi.

Contra Costa, Marin, Monterey, San Francisco, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Solano, Tulare, and Yuba: Not available.

Los Angeles: Greek, Hindi, Hungarian, Indonesian, Malayo-Polynesian, Rumanian, Scandinavian, Yiddish, and Yugoslavian. Los Angeles County has 74 unspecified cases that were included in the total for that county and the overall total.

Napa County: Urdu, Greek, Hindu, and Punjabi.

Orange and Santa Clara: Romanian and Hawaiian.

Riverside County: Amharic, Bosnian, Croatian, Egyptian, Indonesian, Palaun, Pashto, Persian, Punjabi, Romanian, Tamil, Tausog, Urdu, and Yugoslavian.

Sacramento County: Romanian.

San Diego: no listing in breakdown.

San Mateo: Pakistan and Afghanistan.

Santa Barbara: 2 Mixteco.

Sierra: German.

Stanislaus County: Assyrian, Afghanistan, Persian, Hindi, Romanian, Punjabi, Tonga, and Ukrainian.

Ventura: 3 Punjabi, 1 Guyariti, 1 Urdu, 1 Romanian, and 4 Hindu.

The Other Non-English Languages column also includes those of Armenian (15 recipients), Turkish (6 recipients), Hebrew (5 recipients), French (3 recipients), Polish (0 recipients), Russian (24 recipients), Portuguese (2 recipients), Italian (0 recipients), Arabic (9 recipients), Farsi (65 recipients), and the original Other Non-English Languages category supplied by the California Department of Social Services (76 recipients not delineated by language).

For those language categories that were known, the decision to subgroup the language categories provided by the California Department of Social Services was made by CFCC staff and is based on the categorization into Indo-European, Asian and Pacific Islander, and other language groups in the U.S. Census categories of languages, which can be found on the American FactFinder Web site, URL: [http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/QTTable?ds\\_name=D&geo\\_id=D&q\\_r\\_name=DEC\\_2000\\_SF3\\_U\\_QTP16&lang=en](http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/QTTable?ds_name=D&geo_id=D&q_r_name=DEC_2000_SF3_U_QTP16&lang=en).

Totals computed by CFCC staff.

The Total Asian/Pacific Islander Languages column includes Cantonese, Korean, Tagalog, Mandarin, Cambodian, Hmong, Lao, Vietnamese, Japanese, Ilocana, Mein, other Chinese languages, Samoan, and Thai.

**Table 2.38**

**Annual Recipients of Foster Care by County and Primary Asian/Pacific Islander Language Spoken July 2002**

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *Annual Recipient Reports on CalWORKs, Foster Care (FC), Social Services, Nonassistance Food Stamps (NAFS), Welfare to Work (WTW), Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA), and the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Ethnic Origin and Primary Language*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on July 22, 2003 from the July 2002 ABCD 350 - Annual Recipient Report. URL: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/res/pdf/abcd350/2002/ABCD350Jul02.xls>

For those language categories that were known, the decision to subgroup the language categories provided by the California Department of Social Services was made by CFCC staff and is based on the categorization into the Asian and Pacific Islander language group in the U.S. Census categories of languages, which can be found on the American FactFinder Web site, URL: [http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/QTTable?ds\\_name=D&geo\\_id=D&qr\\_name=DEC\\_2000\\_SF3\\_U\\_QTP16&lang=en](http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/QTTable?ds_name=D&geo_id=D&qr_name=DEC_2000_SF3_U_QTP16&lang=en).

Other Asian Languages includes Japanese (3 recipients), Ilocana (2 recipients), Mein (25 recipients), other Chinese languages (5 recipients), Samoan (4 recipients), and Thai (0 recipients).

Totals computed by CFCC staff.

**Table 2.39**  
**Foster Care Independent Living Program Characteristics and Outcomes by Year**  
**October 1–September 30, 2000–2002**

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *SOC 405 A-Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report(s) 2002, 2001, and 2000*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on July 22, 2003. URL: [http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In\\_415.htm](http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm)

- 2000: Orange County collected data for the following ILP categories only:  
Youths offered services during the year, and, for those received services, youths in the probation department or the county welfare department, who received transitional housing placement services and those for whom no information could be obtained.
- 2001: Orange County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:  
Youths who are single, married, or are parents (sum of fathers and mothers), with special needs (educational, mental, and/or physical), no longer in foster care, who received ILP services during the six-month period following exit from foster care, continuing to receive ILP services, who completed high school/GED or adult education, continuing and/or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education, have completed vocational or on-the-job training, continuing and/or currently enrolled in vocational education or on-the-job training, enrolled in college, in a community college, or in a four-year university, who obtained employment, who obtained full-time employment, who obtained part-time employment, enlisted in military, Job Corps, or California Conservation Corps, actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs, who obtained subsidized housing, who transitioned into other government assisted services, or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services
- 2002: Calaveras County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:  
Youths who received ILP services and have special needs (educational, mental, and/or physical), are continuing and/or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education, who have completed vocational or on-the-job training, are continuing and/or currently enrolled in vocational education or on-the-job training, in a four-year university, who obtained employment, who

obtained full-time employment, who obtained part-time employment, are actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs, who obtained subsidized housing, who transitioned into other government-assisted services, or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.

2002: Fresno County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services: Youths who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.

2002: Madera County was unable to provide data for youths who transitioned into other government-assisted services and does not provide data for either youths who received transitional housing placement services or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services because those services are not offered by the county.

**Table 2.40**  
**Foster Care Independent Living Program Youths Served by County**  
**October 1, 2001-September 30, 2002**

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *SOC 405 A-Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report(s) 2002, 2001, and 2000*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on July 22, 2003. URL: [http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In\\_415.htm](http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm)

2000: Orange County was unable to provide specific data for the number of youths who received ILP services (based on the sum of those who are single or married).

2001: Orange County was unable to provide specific data for the number of youths who received ILP services (based on the sum of those who are single or married).

**Table 2.41**  
**Foster Care Independent Living Program Client Characteristics by County**  
**October 1, 2001-September 30, 2002**

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *SOC 405 A - Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report (s) 2002, 2001, and 2000*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on July 22, 2003. URL: [http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In\\_415.htm](http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm)

2002: Calaveras County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:  
Youths who received ILP services and have special needs (educational, mental and/or physical), are continuing and/or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education, who have completed vocational or on-the-job training, are continuing and/or currently enrolled in vocational education or on-the-job training, in a four-year university, who obtained employment, who obtained full-time employment, who obtained part-time employment, are actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs, who obtained subsidized housing, who transitioned into other government-assisted services, or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.

2002: Fresno County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services: Youths who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.



2002: Madera County was unable to provide data for youths who transitioned into other government-assisted services and does not provide data for either youths who received transitional housing placement services or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services because those services are not offered by the county.

**Table 2.42**  
**Foster Care Independent Living Program Client Progress by County**  
**October 1, 2001-September 30, 2002**

California Department of Social Services, Research and Development Division. *SOC 405 A-Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report (s) 2002, 2001, and 2000*. Retrieved from the Department of Social Services Web site on July 22, 2003. URL: [http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In\\_415.htm](http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In_415.htm)

- 2002: Calaveras County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services:  
Youths who received ILP services and have special needs (educational, mental, and/or physical), are continuing and/or currently enrolled in high school/GED or adult education, who have completed vocational or on-the-job training, are continuing and/or currently enrolled in vocational education or on-the-job training, in a four-year university, who obtained employment, who obtained full-time employment, who obtained part-time employment, are actively seeking employment, determined unemployable, SSI eligible, or other similar special category, who are living independently of agency maintenance programs, who obtained subsidized housing, who transitioned into other government-assisted services, or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.
- 2002: Fresno County was unable to provide data for the following ILP categories of those who received services: Youths who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services.
- 2002: Madera County was unable to provide data for youths who transitioned into other government-assisted services and does not provide data for either youths who received transitional housing placement services or those who were appropriate for and were denied transitional housing placement services because those services are not offered by the county.

**Table 2.43**  
**Juvenile Dependency Court Services by County**  
**2002-2003**

California CASA Association (Court Appointed Special Advocates). Retrieved July 25, 2003, from California CASA Association Web site URL: <http://www.californiacasa.org/local.htm>; and California Administrative Office of the Courts, Center for Families, Children & the Courts, *Research Update: Court-Based Juvenile Dependency Mediation in California* (March 2003; unpublished update of number of programs by county, July 2003).

**Table 2.44**  
**Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel by Practice Type and County**  
**September 2003**

California Administrative Office of the Courts; administrative data maintained by the Center for Families, Children & the Courts. Valid as of September 1, 2003.



These figures do not include court-appointed dependency counsel who work on appellate dependency cases and do not work on dependency cases in the trial courts.

**Table 2.45**  
**Attendance at Foster and Kinship Care Education Community College Programs by Program Type, Fiscal Years 1998-1999 to 2000-2001**

California Community Colleges' Chancellor's Office (CCCCO). *Foster and Kinship Care Education Program, 2001-2002: Annual Report*. Retrieved July 25, 2003, from the CCCCCO Web site, URL: [http://www.cccco.edu/divisions/ss/fostercare/attachments/annual\\_rpt11\\_14\\_01.doc](http://www.cccco.edu/divisions/ss/fostercare/attachments/annual_rpt11_14_01.doc)

Attendance figures are unduplicated participant counts.

**Table 2.46**  
**Foster and Kinship Care Education Programs in Community Colleges by County January 2003**

California Community Colleges' Chancellor's Office (CCCCO). *Foster and Kinship Care Education Program, 2001-2002: Annual Report*. Retrieved July 25, 2003, from the CCCCCO Web site, URL: [http://www.cccco.edu/divisions/ss/fostercare/attachments/annual\\_rpt11\\_14\\_01.doc](http://www.cccco.edu/divisions/ss/fostercare/attachments/annual_rpt11_14_01.doc)

**Table 2.47**  
**Office of the Ombudsman for Foster Care – Cases, Case Types, and Resolution May 1, 2001 and 2002**

State of California, Office of the Ombudsman for Foster Care. *Annual Report, May 2001 - May 2002 and Update Report*. Retrieved February 6, 2004 from the Web site, URL: <http://www.fosteryouthhelp.ca.gov/>